1	JUDGE CHACHKIN: 4 is a supplement to the Petition
2	to Deny which was filed by RAM. I assume it's also to show
3	the fact that it was filed with the Commission
4	MR. HARDMAN: That's right and
5	JUDGE CHACHKIN: and state of mind?
6	MR. HARDMAN: Right.
7	JUDGE CHACHKIN: For that any objection for that
8	purpose?
9	MS. LADEN: None, Your Honor.
10	JUDGE CHACHKIN: Capitol Exhibit 4 is received.
11	(The document previously marked for
12	identification as CAP Exhibit No. 4
13	was received into evidence.)
14	JUDGE CHACHKIN: 5 is another supplement filed by
15	RAM which I assume was filed for the same purpose. Is that
16	correct, the purposes which I indicated?
17	MR. HARDMAN: That's right, Your Honor.
18	JUDGE CHACHKIN: Any objection? Capitol Exhibit 5
19	is received.
20	(The document previously marked for
21	identification as CAP Exhibit No. 5
22	was received into evidence.)
23	JUDGE CHACHKIN: And 6 is a letter which was sent by
24	Ms. Foelak to Mr. Joyce and is also part of the file prior to
25	the setting of this application for a hearing. I assume it

1	was filed for the same purpose?
2	MR. HARDMAN: That's right, Your Honor.
3	JUDGE CHACHKIN: Capitol Exhibit 6 is received.
4	(The document Previously marked for
5	identification as CAP Exhibit No. 6
6	was received into evidence.)
7	JUDGE CHACHKIN: 7 is a Petition for Reconsideration
8	which is being I assume is being submitted for the same
9	purposes, state of mind and
10	MR. HARDMAN: Yes, Your Honor.
11	JUDGE CHACHKIN: what was filed with the
12	Commission? Capitol Exhibit 7 is received.
13	(The document previously marked for
14	identification as CAP Exhibit No. 7
15	was received into evidence.)
16	JUDGE CHACHKIN: 8 is a Motion to Stay and it will
17	be received for the similar purposes, namely state of mind and
18	to show that it was filed with the Commission.
19	(The document previously marked for
20	identification as CAP Exhibit No. 8
21	was received into evidence.)
22	JUDGE CHACHKIN: 9 is a reply which will be also
23	received for the similar purposes.
24	(The document previously marked for
25	identification as CAP Exhibit No. 9

1	was received into evidence.)
2	JUDGE CHACHKIN: 10 is a letter from the Congressman
3	which I assume is also to show part of the filing and also
4	state of mind. Is that correct?
5	MR. HARDMAN: That's right, Your Honor.
6	JUDGE CHACHKIN: It will be received for those
7	limited purposes.
8	(The document previously marked for
9	identification as CAP Exhibit No. 10
10	was received into evidence.)
11	JUDGE CHACHKIN: 11, I assume this what the
12	purpose of this is to show also a chronology of all the events
13	which took place or what other purpose?
14	MR. HARDMAN: Yes, Your Honor, but in addition the
15	declaration of J. Michael Raymond which is two pages, we are
16	offering that for the truth in lieu of repeating the substance
17	of that in his direct statement.
18	MS. LADEN: We have no objection, Your Honor.
19	JUDGE CHACHKIN: All right. Capitol Exhibit 11 is
20	received.
21	(The document previously marked for
22	identification as CAP Exhibit No. 11
23	was received into evidence.)
24	JUDGE CHACHKIN: 12 is again another declaration of
25	Mr. Raymond.

1	MR. HARDMAN: Right, with the covering letter and
2	the same comments or the same purposes are involved there.
3	JUDGE CHACHKIN: But as to Mr. Basham, that's not
4	being received for the truth of the matters? They're in only
5	to show that this was part of the filing?
6	MR. HARDMAN: That's right, Your Honor.A
7	JUDGE CHACHKIN: All right.
8	MS. LADEN: Your Honor, I have some objections to
9	Mr. Raymond's declaration at Exhibit 12.
10	JUDGE CHACHKIN: All right.
11	MS. LADEN: At the bottom of page 2
12	JUDGE CHACHKIN: Yes.
13	MS. LADEN: "It is absolutely ludicrous that RAM
14	should complain." That from that up until page 4, the end
15	of page 4, we submit, Your Honor, is irrelevant.
16	MR. HARDMAN: Your Honor, this is, this is the
17	testimony by this witness that, in fact, what was going on was
18	not what the Government was claiming but was the reverse. It
19	was RAM interfering with Capitol.
20	JUDGE CHACHKIN: I'll overrule the objection.
21	MS. LADEN: Your Honor, at the bottom of page 3
22	JUDGE CHACHKIN: Yes.
23	MS. LADEN: I'm sorry. This was a note I had for
24	cross-examination.
25	JUDGE CHACHKIN: All right.

1	MS. LADEN: I have no further objections.
2	JUDGE CHACHKIN: All right. Capitol Exhibit 12 is
3	received.
4	(The document previously marked for
5	identification as CAP Exhibit No. 12
6	was received into evidence.)
7	JUDGE CHACHKIN: 13 is a letter, Mr. Capehart to Mr.
8	Raymond. Any objection to it's receipt?
9	MS. LADEN: No objection, Your Honor.
10	JUDGE CHACHKIN: Capitol Exhibit 13 is received.
11	(The document previously marked for
12	identification as CAP Exhibit No. 13
13	was received into evidence.)
14	JUDGE CHACHKIN: 14 is a letter from Mr. Hardman to
15	Mr
16	MR. HARDMAN: And the response.
17	JUDGE CHACHKIN: And the response. Any objection?
18	MS. LADEN: Your Honor, I think we had previously
19	stated in connection with this matter but I'm not sure but,
20	anyway, we believe that this is irrelevant also.
21	JUDGE CHACHKIN: Irrelevant?
22	MS. LADEN: Yes, Your Honor.
23	MR. HARDMAN: We did have a discussion about this
24	the other day, Your Honor, and our I as I recall, you
25	reserved the ruling on it, but the, the purpose of offering

1	this, again, is to show state of mind of Capitol based on what
2	its understanding of the requirements for PCP licensees were
3	at the time and the conflicting information about that,
4	whether, whether the whether its understanding was correct
5	or not and, again, it's to show state of mind and whether what
6	it did under the circumstances was reasonable.
7	JUDGE CHACHKIN: Capitol Exhibit 14 is received.
8	(The document previously marked for
9	identification as CAP Exhibit No. 14
10	was received into evidence.)
11	JUDGE CHACHKIN: 15 is any objection to 15?
12	MS. LADEN: Your Honor, I just have a question as to
13	its relevance.
14	MR. HARDMAN: Well again, this is the official
15	documentation of the different phases or the different
16	modifications that Capitol made to its system in response to
17	various technical problems that it had which are recited in
18	Mr. Raymond's testimony and it covers the period of time at
19	issue here.
20	MS. LADEN: That's fine, Your Honor. We have no
21	objection.
22	JUDGE CHACHKIN: All right. Capitol Exhibit 15 is
23	received.
24	(The document previously marked for
25	identification as CAP Exhibit No. 15

1	was received into evidence.)
2	JUDGE CHACHKIN: 16? Any objections? Well, this is
3	a Notice of Apparent Liability. I think you put it in also,
4	didn't you?
5	MS. LADEN: Yes, Your Honor. It's our Exhibit 12.
6	JUDGE CHACHKIN: All right.
7	MS. LADEN: It's just that it's cumulative.
8	MR. HARDMAN: It I have no objection to it not
9	being received in light of the fact that the, the Bureau
10	JUDGE CHACHKIN: All right. 16 then has been
11	identified. It's not being offered since it's cumulative. A
12	copy's already been included in the Bureau's exhibits. 17?
13	There's been some testimony about it, the Pager Pickup
14	Agreement. Any objection to that?
15	MR. HARDMAN: And the second page is a, is a related
16	business document.
17	MS. LADEN: No objection, Your Honor.
18	JUDGE CHACHKIN: All right. Capitol Exhibit 17 is
19	received.
20	(The document previously marked for
21	identification as CAP Exhibit No. 17
22	was received into evidence.)
23	JUDGE CHACHKIN: I think that did you also want
24	19 in? Did you
25	MR. HARDMAN: This witness is not sponsoring 19.

1	JUDGE CHACHKIN: All right. All right. I guess
2	we're ready for cross-examination.
3	MR. HARDMAN: Your Honor, I do have a
4	JUDGE CHACHKIN: Oh, you have some questions. Go
5	ahead.
6	MR. HARDMAN: a few supplemental questions and
7	just this is because Mr. Raymond is has been present at the
8	hearing and has heard all the testimony.
9	JUDGE CHACHKIN: All right.
10	BY MR. HARDMAN:
11	Q Mr. Raymond, there's been some testimony about call
12	signs that various RAM personnel thought they heard on 152.48
13	and they identified as being Capitol's their call signs for
14	Capitol's PCP system. Do you recall that testimony?
15	A Yes, I do.
16	Q Now, has Capitol ever used more than one station ID
17	for its PCP system?
18	A No, sir.
19	Q So whatever the call sign is, that was the one that
20	was used at all times? Is that
21	A that is the only call sign we have for the PCP, yes,
22	sir.
23	Q And is that the only one that Capitol ever used to
24	transmit over the air?
25	A Yes, sir.

1	Q All right. Mr. Raymond, would you refer to the, the
2	direct case there behind Tab 20, and do you see a document
3	with the notation in the upper right-hand corner Exhibit CAP
4	19?
5	A Yes.
6	Q All right. And I would ask you to refer to the
7	second sentence of the text of that paragraph which states
8	RAM states that, "Capitol has a device that is patched into
9	its paging bay station that imitates the sound of a tone page
10	transmission."
11	A Yes, sir.
12	Q Are you familiar with any such device?
13	A No, sir.
14	Q To your knowledge has Capitol ever had such a
15	device?
16	A Never.
17	Q Would you now also turn to the Private Radio Bureau,
18	PRB No. 5? And I would ask you to refer to the second and
19	third pages. Do you have those, sir?
20	A Yes, sir.
21	Q Do you recognize those documents?
22	A Yes, sir.
23	Q Could you tell us what they are?
24	A These were customers that were on the private
25	carrier 152.48 megahertz frequency in Charleston on page 2,

1	and some that were on the Huntington which is on page 3.	
2	Q Do you recognize the type of document that is?	
3	A It's just a typed document that's been put into a	
4	word processor and ran off on computer paper.	
5	Q Is that a computer report that Capitol gets or	
6	maintains as part of its business?	
7	A No. No.	
8	Q Does Capitol have any type of computer generated	
9	report like this in its computer system?	
10	A No format to this whatsoever, nothing similar to	
11	this.	
12	JUDGE CHACHKIN: Has it been established where these	
13	documents came from?	
14	MR. HARDMAN: Yeah. The yes, Your Honor. The	
15	FCC inspectors testified that this was given to them by	
16	Capitol personnel at the time of the inspection which, as I	
17	believe their inference was, this was a computer generated	
18	report. I'm asking this witness to establish the nature of	
19	the document.	
20	JUDGE CHACHKIN: Go ahead. Go ahead.	
21	BY MR. HARDMAN:	
22	Q I'm sorry. I at the risk of repeating, is this	
23	are these two pages reports from any routine computer	
24	generated or prepared reports that Capitol has or uses?	
25	A Absolutely not.	

1	Q	Okay. I would refer you now to January 29, 1990 in
2	your mind	and ask you if on that date you received a phone
3	call from	a Mr. Bobbitt at RAM?
4	A	Yes, sir, I did.
5	Q	And
6		MR. JOYCE: Leading question, Your Honor. I object.
7		JUDGE CHACHKIN: Well, it's been asked and answered,
8	so	
9		BY MR. HARDMAN:
10	Q	What was the subject of that phone call?
11	A	He called me to inform me that Capitol had 40 days
12	to get of:	f their long distance telephone savers. I at that
13	time expla	ained to Mr. Bobbitt, who has earlier testified that
14	we had nev	ver spoke, that I was not in charge of that and that
15	he would 1	have to either contact via phone or send Mr. Stone a
16	letter bed	cause I did not handle the trunking part of, of the
17	industry a	at that time.
18	Q	Do you remember exactly what he said about Capitol
19	getting of	ff the long distance savers?
20	A	He gave us 40 days and the reason it is so clear in
21	my mind, a	at that time I thought that long distance telephone
22	savers we	re headed under the Public Service Commission as a
23	public ut	ility, the same as us, in West Virginia, so I went on
24	to make th	he call to the Public Service Commission to complain
25	over the	fact that for an apparent reason of our private

carrier application I felt that we were being unjustly taken 2 care of, but for some reason, and I cannot explain, they are 3 not regulated in West Virginia. Maybe it's because they're 4 coming out of Ashland, Kentucky. So our Public Service Commission in West Virginia could not do anything about it 5 6 except make some comments. 7 Q Why did Capitol care whether they were ordered off the telephone circuits or not? 8 9 Our Ashland pager numbers were being trunked to us 10 from long distance telephone savers and if we lost that line 11 it created quite a hardship financially and -- on us to have 12 to have another company, which we had to eventually, install 13 trunking for us from the Ashland area into Huntington which is a different state. 14 15 Q Well, were there alternative sources of supply? 16 Α Long distance carriers. 17 Were the rates the same? 0 18 No, and plus you have to pay a setup fee per se to A 19 initiate the service which was quite expensive at that time. 20 Do you know which, which carriers were -- I'm sorry. 21 Were the alternative carriers more or less expensive than, 22 than RAM? 23 Α From memory I think they were more. How much more, 24 I'm not quite sure. 25 You also, I believe, heard the testimony here about 0

814

in the fall of 1990 the, the -- what has been referred to as 2 the stereo effect of interference. Do you recall that 3 testimony? 4 Α Yes, sir. 5 Do you know -- did anyone at Capitol cause that 0 6 interference --7 Α No, sir. 8 -- to your knowledge? 9 A No, sir. 10 Do you recall the testimony about -- I'm sorry. 11 you have an opinion based on your position in the company and 12 familiarity with its operation as to the cause of that 13 interference as it has been described to you at this point? 14 Α The cause? What could have caused --The stereo effect. 15 0 16 Α No, sir. 17 Did you hear the testimony about the duplicate 18 transmissions which were monitored by RAM personnel beginning 19 August 1992, the duplicate transmissions from 152.51 megahertz 20 to 152.48 megahertz? 21 Α Yes. 22 To your knowledge did you or anyone at Capitol cause 0 23 that interference or cause those duplicate transmissions? 24 Α Absolutely not. 25 Q Do you, based on your experience and knowledge of

Capitol's operation, have an opinion on how that was done? 2 Yes, I have an opinion. Α 3 Could you state it please? Q 4 Α Well, we're certain that Capitol did not cause it. 5 It leads to my mind that it could have been sabotage. 6 Q By sabotage what do you mean? 7 By some other company transmitting that messages over -- as their technician explained, through a receiver, 8 9 transmitting it over the air. 10 Q You used the term sabotage. 11 A Yes. 12 How is it possible that such -- that that type of 13 event could occur? 14 Α Well, several ways in my opinion. One, as RAM Technologies people suggested, that someone could be taking 15 16 that signal and mixing it, actually capturing it and mixing 17 Since I have heard their testimonies yesterday there has 18 already been some provisions made within our corporate office. 19 We have in our office -- modem lines which has access for 20 remote capability to enter into the CRT, into the computer, to 21 change paging or whatever. These are just dial up lines and 22 they are not the security in -- and I'm not a computer person, 23 of the codes or password to enter into that particular screen 24 as Mr. Walker saw, so the screen isn't on and anyone -- as

FREE STATE REPORTING, INC.
Court Reporting Depositions
D.C. Area (301) 261-1902
Balt. & Annap. (410) 974-0947

again as RAM Technologies people, with a minimum amount of

25

training knows how to program and to chain.

1.3

- Q What -- you said -- what screen are you referring to?
 - A The programming screen to allow you to get into the terminal to program pagers, to validate, invalidate, to, to replace a pager. If a pager is broken and this is a broken pager, this is a good pager, you can transfer that phone number from the broken pager onto a workable pager and validate it and actually invalidate or kill the pager that's broke.
 - Q Is, is that the same screen that -- where you set up a chain on a --
 - A Yes, sir. Yes, sir. You can do all -- all the functions come off of one screen. You have what's called a menu on the front of the screen and you pick what part or you select the number of what you want to go into.
 - Q And if I understand your testimony correctly, you're saying that this is accessible to a -- from the outside world to a dial up modem line, is that right, telephone line?
 - A It could be done from right here in this office here even long distance with a phone line and a lap top computer or any type thing to dial that number up and you could enter into the computer, yes, sir. Lots of companies use dial up. RAM Technologies, I believe, awhile ago mentioned that they had -- in the earlier testimony or at some other point -- maybe one

of their salespeople told me or maybe it was even American 2 Mobile Phone, that their, their people program via phone line, 3 via touch tone so can get it and do that from a touch tone 4 phone. 5 Well, I appreciate the information about RAM, but what, what does this phone line do? I mean, what's the reason 6 7 Capitol has this phone line? To access it. Our computer system is, is maintained 8 9 by a company in California. During Mr. Wilson's illness he 10 was not able to come to the office on a daily type basis and prior to that, which was -- this phone line has been in 11 12 existence for about ten years. I can go back to five years 13 and tell you that our programmer at that time lived 14 approximately 45 miles from Charleston, and I have found out 15 possibly in this area 45 miles is not a long way to drive. 16 Back home it's a long way. So we installed a modem line 17 approximately eight to ten years ago in there so -- for that 18 remote capability to get in and do the functions and the 19 testing in the terminals. 20 Apart from the modem line, is there any other ways 21 that sabotage could have been effected in your opinion? 22 Α Absolutely. 23 Could you explain? 24 I think Mr. Walker could probably even validate this A 25 even though it wasn't brought up in his testimony. In some of

the conversations that we had I invited him down on many, many 2 occasions and gave him directions and actually pleaded with 3 him to come to Charleston. It was a joke but I offered to pay 4 the way, that Capitol would pay the way to get the FCC inspectors down there. I said, "Please come in the back 5 door." We own an answering service that operates within the 6 7 same -- in the same building as our paging. The doors are 8 open 24 hours a day. So I said, "Come in the back door, third 9 door on the right's the terminal. Go in there and check it 10 anytime you want." We're from West Virginia and maybe we 11 didn't up until last night have the security that we should 12 have maybe in a larger city. 13 Well, this is not necessarily a big city issue. 0 mean, this is an issue of industrial security. 14 15 testimony that Capitol had minimal or no corporate security around its operations? 16 17 Α As much as I hate to admit, we were more than lax in 18 our security. 19 You don't have crime in West Virginia? 0 20 Didn't think we had a lot but, yes, we do. Yes, we 21 It would -- I have to admit that it was lax on our part. 22 I don't live in Charleston. We don't have to lock our door 23 when we leave, but that's home, that's not business. 24 MR. HARDMAN: I have no further questions. Pass the

FREE STATE REPORTING, INC.
Court Reporting Depositions
D.C. Area (301) 261-1902
Balt. & Annap. (410) 974-0947

25

witness.

1	JUDGE CHACHKIN: All right. Who's going to lead
2	off?
3	MR. JOYCE: I believe I will, Your Honor.
4	JUDGE CHACHKIN: All right, Mr. Joyce. We're only
5	going to go for ten minutes, so
6	MR. JOYCE: All right. Thank you, Your Honor.
7	CROSS-EXAMINATION
8	BY MR. JOYCE:
9	Q Good afternoon, Mr. Raymond. My name is Frederick
10	Joyce. I'm an attorney for RAM Technologies, Inc. We haven't
11	met before, have we?
12	A Just passing here.
13	Q Mr. Raymond, I have a couple of questions about your
14	direct testimony. Do you have it in front of you, behind
15	Capitol Exhibit Tab No. 1?
16	A Yes, sir.
17	Q All right. You joined Capitol in February of 1989,
18	according to your direct testimony?
19	A That is correct, sir.
20	Q Did you have experience in paging prior to that?
21	A I had experience in marketing and, yes, I had
22	somewhat limited experience in communications. I was a
23	representative for a security system that was installed into
24	homes and so forth. I started in the marketing endeavor back
25	in 1972 as a full-time position prior to college and being

820

married and I had been working earning an income since seven 2 years old. 3 Q Okay. Were you involved in Capitol's or anybody 4 else's RCC paging business prior to February of 1989? 5 Α No, sir. Not as an employee, no, sir. 6 Okay. So this is your first, first job as a paging Q 7 person? Is that fair to say? 8 A Yes, sir. Go ahead. That's fine. 9 Now, your title is Vice President for the company? Q 10 Α And Chief Operating Officer, yes, sir. 11 Q I'm sorry. I couldn't hear you. Vice President/Chief Operating Officer, yes, sir. 12 Α I'm just trying to establish who the people 13 Q are at Capitol. Your boss is --14 15 Α Dan Stone. 16 He's -- his title is? Q 17 He's the President. Α 18 Q Is he 100 percent owner? 19 No, sir. A 20 What is the ownership structure at Capitol? Q 21 Α It's a family-owned business amongst four family 22 members. 23 Since they're family owners I presume you're not a Q 24 part owner of Capitol? 25 I'm not a family member. A No.

1	Q You're not a family member and you don't own any			
2	interest in Capitol?			
3	A No. I have no stock.			
4	Q Your testimony says that it was your idea to get			
5	into the private carrier paging business? Is that correct?			
6	A That is absolutely correct, yes, sir.			
7	Q But you had no prior experience in private carrier			
8	paging, correct, you just told me?			
9	A That is correct. We had not operated any private			
10	carrier paging business up until I construed the idea.			
11	Q No. That wasn't my question but I appreciate your			
12	answer. I said you didn't have any previous private carrier			
13	paging experience? Isn't that correct?			
14	A Oh, no, sir. No, sir.			
15	Q You were aware and this is in 1989 according to			
16	your testimony when you first got the idea for PCP? Correct?			
17	A That is I think it was the latter part of '89, I			
18	believe, yes, sir.			
19	Q You knew that RAM Technologies, Inc. was operating a			
20	private carrier paging system at that time, I presume, didn't			
21	you?			
22	A Yes, sir, I did.			
23	Q And you certainly knew that Capitol had an RCC			
24	paging system at that time, didn't you?			
25	A Absolutely, yes, sir.			

1	Q	I take it since you weren't in the paging business				
2	prior to working for Capitol that you probably didn't know					
3	anything about Bob Moyer? Is that a fair assumption?					
4	A That is not a fair assumption.					
5	Q You were familiar with him?					
6	A No, not personally. I just I'd heard of him in					
7	his GE sales and so on and so forth for many years back.					
8	Q	So you knew that Bob Moyer had run a paging business				
9	in Capitol's service area prior to 1989? Isn't that correct?					
10	A	Yes, sir. Are we speaking of the RCC paging				
11	business?					
12	Q	Any paging at all.				
13	A	Yes, sir.				
14	Q	In 1989 RAM Technologies is obviously a competitor				
15	of Capitol's? Isn't that correct?					
16	A	Yes, sir.				
17	Q	Is it fair to say that RAM Technologies was doing a				
18	pretty good job of competing against Capitol?					
19	A	I don't know what you would consider fair, sir.				
20	Q	Well, RAM Technologies wasn't in business until the				
21	summer or	latter part of 1989? Correct?				
22	A	Yes, sir.				
23	Q	So that means they're starting with zero customers?				
24	Correct?					
25	A	Okay.				

823

1	Q	Presumably?				
2	A	Presumably.				
3	Q	Now, at that time Capitol had how many customers?				
4	A	A Several thousand.				
5	Q	Q I'm sorry. I can't hear you.				
6	A	A Several thousand I'm wanting to assume.				
7	Q	Q Several thousand?				
8	A	I don't remember a count at that time, a lot.				
9	Q	Okay. But Capitol had been in business for 30				
10	years? Correct?					
11	A	Approximately, yes, sir.				
12	Q	Okay. Now, by the end of 1989/1990, after it's				
13	first year of operation I presume paging companies keep					
14	track of each other pretty well, particularly in a small area					
15	like Huntington and Charleston? Correct?					
16	A	Track such as				
17	Q	Well, you have a fair idea from day to day how many				
18	paging customers they have and vice versa, don't you?					
19	A	No, sir.				
20	Q	You have absolutely no idea?				
21	A	No, sir, none whatsoever.				
22	Q	Not the foggiest?				
23	A	No. That's why I found it interesting reading. I				
24	found out	more about how many customers they have than I ever				
25	could have	e guessed myself. No, sir, I have no way of knowing.				

824

1 |As your witnesses testified, hearing all this noise going out, | 2 but it doesn't tell you how many pagers are going out so, no, 3 I don't have any way of counting their customers, especially 4 with this Network USA going through, how many of those are his 5 and who else's I have no idea. 6 Q Mr. Raymond, isn't it true that you actually 7 monitored 152.48 prior to your applying for a PCP application? 8 Oh, absolutely, yes, sir. Α 9 Okay. And you did that to determine how much traffic was on the air? Correct? 10 11 Yes, sir. That's what NABER had required me to do, 12 yes, sir, and it requested. Yes, sir. NABER -- Mrs. Watson, 13 Gloria Watson, had even requested that, yes, sir. 14 0 And, in fact, although I'm looking for it 15 unsuccessfully, I believe Mr. Hardman has actually submitted 16 it as part of the exhibits in this case, isn't that correct, 17 that communication between you and NABER concerning your 18 submission of traffic reports to them? Isn't that true? 19 I would have to look. If you could tell me what tab 20 it is, I'll be glad to look, sir. I can look at the index 21 maybe and find it. 22 JUDGE CHACHKIN: Looks like it's 18. Do we have 23 reference to 18, Capitol Exhibit 18? Just so the record's 24 clear, the witness asked if he could have a mint while he was

25

up there.

1	MR. JOYCE: All right. I'm I apologize for the
2	confusion, Mr. Raymond. I'm actually referring to your direct
3	testimony on page 6.
4	JUDGE CHACHKIN: Well, before we start that, it's
5	time for a recess so you can hold over until Monday at 9:30.
6	(Whereupon, the hearing was recessed at 3:59 p.m. to
7	reconvene on Monday, February 7, 1994 at 9:30 a.m.)
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER, TRANSCRIBER, AND PROOFREADER

IN THE MATTER OF	CHARLESTON, WEST \	JIRGINIA	
Name			
PR DOCKET NO. 93-	231		
Docket No.			
WASHINGTON, D.C.			
Place			
FEBRUARY 4, 1994			
Date			
comparing the typ recording accompl final proofed typ	d complete transcr MARYKAE FLEISH ied proceeding, in current Federal (atim reporting and ified the accuracy ewritten transcrip	ript prepared HMAN n accordance Communication d transcripti y of the tran pt against the seding and (2 pt against the	from the n attendance at with applicable s Commission's on Statement of script by (1) e reporting or) comparing the
February 15, 1994	Cherul X	Chipps	/
Date	Cheryl L. Phipps	, Tr	nscriber
February 17, 1994	Free State Report	ing, Inc.	
Date	Diane S. Windell		ofreader
	Free State Report	ing, Inc.	
February 17, 1994	Maryhal	tlush	man
Date	Marykae Fleishman Free State Report	n , Re	porter
	rree prace report	.rng, inc.	